

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/034,372 03/04/98 SAY J 12008.20US01 **EXAMINER** IM52/0623 MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL NOGUEROLA.A WELTER & SCHMIDT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3100 NORWEST CENTER 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET 1744 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-4131

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/034,372

Examiner

Alex Noguerola

Group Art Unit 1744

Say et al.

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Mar 4, 1998</i>	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failuapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
X Claims 1-126	
Application Papers	•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ving Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	s of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from t	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☑ Interview Summary, PTO-413	040
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO	·948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE DEFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES
CLL OFFICE ACTION OF	

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

First set of species (I) -

- a) species A(I) directed to an *in vivo* sensor or *in vivo* method of sensing (reflected in claims 10, 75, 76, 103, and 118-121);
 - b) species B(I) directed to an in vitro sensor (reflected in claims 11, 77, and 78);

applicant contemplates different embodiments and requirements for *in vivo* and *in vitro* sensors, as shown, for example in the second full paragraph on page 10 of the specification and in the first full paragraph on page 35 of the specification;

Second set of species (II) -

a) species A(II) - directed to an electrochemical enzyme sensor requiring a recessed channel (reflected in claims 1-34 and 36-102 minus the in vivo or in vitro claims, as elected in (I) above);

Application/Control Number: 09/034,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

c) species B(II) - directed to an electrochemical enzyme sensor and method that does not require a recessed channel (reflected in claims 35, 104-117 and 122-125);

applicant contemplates embodiments with recessed channels and without recessed channels on the second and third full paragraphs of page 16 of the specification and in lines 16-19 on page 27.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each set of species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 74 are generic to the claims in election (I).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/034,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Bruce Black on June 01, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Noguerola whose telephone number is (703)-305-5686.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden, can be reached at (703)-308-2920. The unofficial fax phone number, for example, for faxing a proposed amendment, for this Group is (703)-305-7719. The official fax

Application/Control Number: 09/034,372 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

phone number, for example, for faxing an amendment to be entered, for this Group is (703)-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0651.

Alex Noguerola

June 19, 1999

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Polent 7. Warden, An.